

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassackin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.oepic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,766	10/31/2001	Yoshizumi Mano	09812.0171-00000	4322	
	7590 11/09/200 IENDERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER			
LLP			RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3689		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/001,766	MANO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dennis Ruhl	3689		

	Delilis Kulli	3009					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 9/18/09 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.					
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(00(-) 1 #					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
	" "th 07 OFD 44 07	era a companyon a companyon					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		de la companya de la					
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		otou diamino.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Americanient (102-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t	antely filed afficilation	it canceling the				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	対 will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	I be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi			•				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance				
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							

/Dennis Ruhl/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3689

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claim scope now appears to be positively recting various elements that were not positively recited previously (relates to the 112,2nd rejection for claim 1). The amendment to calim 1 requires further consideration in view of the prior art due to the new claim scope. The claim also not recites that various means plus function langauge is the content distribution intermediary system and this was not claimed previously and was of a different scope.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the amendments appear to overcome the 101 rejection and the 112,2nd paragraph rejections.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is based on amended claim language that is not being entered due to new issues and new claim scope. With respect to the argument that the prior art does not disclose the physical distribution agent as receiving a delivery status, this language is just reciting what kind of data is able to be received. All that is claimed is the ability to receive data, not any specific data is latelf as part of the claim. Applicant argues that the prior art does not disclose "media content". This is not persuasive and is directed to non-functional descriptive material. With respect to the argument regarding the official notice, the argument is not a traversal on the merits and is nothing more than a request for evidence without even saying that the examiner is incorrect, or pointing out why the taking of official notice is not proper. If applicant will not argue the merits of the official notice, then it is not considered to be a traversal. The alleged fact is now a matter of fact for the record due to applicant not timely presenting a proper traversal to the talking of official notice. With respect to the argument relating to a "clip", it is not persuasive for the same reasons as stated in the response to arguments in the Final rejection.